

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/743,648	12/22/2003	Rick T. Swartzburg	7275	
7590 11/30/2004)4	EXAMINER	
Ted Masters			TRETTEL, MICHAEL	
23344 8th Stre Newhall, CA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,,			3673	<u> </u>
			DATE MAILED: 11/30/200	14

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/743,648	SWARTZBURG, RICK T.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael Trettel	3673				
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address				
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a replan. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT statute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	22 December 2003.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠	This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ndrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Example 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 12/22/03 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the contr	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand prection is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a 	nents have been received. nents have been received in Ap priority documents have been r ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	_					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)	Immary (PTO-413) /Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) -				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 10 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. In the present case, the parent claim is drawn to a mattress topper, while claim 10 only sets forth an intended mode of use of the topper. Claim 10 therefore does not add any new structural features and fails to limit the parent claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 5, 7, 8 and 10 to 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Landvik et al (US 6,159,574). Landvik et al shows a laminated foam body support that in one embodiment shown in Figures 2 and 6 takes the form of a mattress topper or overlay. The overlay comprises at least a relatively thick base layer 3 of foam with a hardness of 13N, and a relatively thin top layer 4 made of foam with a hardness of 10N. The layers can be made from a viscoelastic foam, although the use of polyurethane foam is mentioned as well. The top layer is less firm (i.e., softer) than the base layer, and as set forth in column 2, lines 36 to 49 the

Art Unit: 3673

laminated foam layers can be used as a mattress overlay upon a conventional mattress. The overlay is inherently capable of being flipped over to present a harder upper surface if so desired, and as such the claim limitations set forth in claims 10, 12, and 13 which are drawn to an inferentially claimed mode of use are anticipated by the Landvik et al reference. Note the methods of use set forth in column 2, lines 36 to 38 and column 3, lines 63 to 67.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Landvik et al (US 6,159,574). The particular foam ILDs and densities claimed are well within the ordinary level of skill in the art, since the applicant has not shown any particular criticality is associated with the claimed parameters claim 9 is considered to be obvious in view of the Landvik et al reference.

Claims 1 to 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barman et al (US 6,715,173) in view of Landvik et al (US 6,159,574). Barman et al shows a removable mattress topper pad 50 which can be placed upon a mattress 30 and retained by frictional contact. The topper pad 50 can be made from multiple layers of foam material 51 (column 5, lines 56 and 57) encased within an outer covering that has a high friction backing layer to enhance attachment

to the mattress 30. Note the wide variety of differing materials that can be used to make the internal layers of the pad (column 5, lines 53 to 67 and column 6, lines 1 to 13). Note the method steps set forth in column 8, lines 5 to 28, wherein the use of multiple differing types of topper pads which can be selected and removed from a mattress by differing users is discussed, also note the methods of shipping and storing the topper pad which are set forth. The use of multiple layers of foam filler is already present within the disclosure of the Barman et al reference, as well as the concepts of flipping the topper pad, moving the pad to another mattress, replacing the pad with a differing pad, etc. Landvik et al teaches that it is known in the art to use multiple layers of foam within a topper pad, with the foam layers having differing firmnesses, thicknesses, and densities. It would have been obvious to the skilled artisan to use multiple layers of foam materials with differing thicknesses, densities, and ILD's within the Barman et al mattress topper pad n view of the teachings of Landvik et al.

Page 4

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. England, McLeod, Gerrick, Schwarz et al, and Landvik (US 6,602,579) show mattress topper pads which are of particular interest.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Trettel whose telephone number is 703-308-0416. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday, Thursday, or Friday from 7.30 am to 5.00 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/743,648

Art Unit: 3673

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Shackelford, can be reached on (703) 308-2978. The fax phone number for

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Michael Trettel Primary Examiner Art Unit 3673 Page 5